**SUBSTANCES**

M. bovis.

**MICs**

The use of DRAXXIN Injectable Solution is contraindicated in animals previously found to be tolerant to > 64

**INDICATIONS**

Beef and Non-Lactating Dairy Cattle

**Adverse Reactions**

**Cattle**

**Foot Rot**

**Swine**

**SAFETY**

Swine were dosed intramuscularly in both experiments with a total of 7.5 mg/kg BW of the antibiotic, corresponding to 54 mg/kg BW of tulathromycin in a 25 kg pig. The control group received saline injections. The pigs were treated 24 h prior to the tandem inoculation with dual SRD pathogens. The treatment success rate was significantly greater (P < 0.05) in the saline-treated animals (24% vs. 70.5%) compared to saline-treated pigs (46.1%).

**Foot Rot**

The effectiveness of DRAXXIN for the treatment of foot rot in swine was evaluated in two field studies. Cattle dosed with saline foot rot were treated and with a single subcutaneous dose of 2.5 mg/kg BW of tulathromycin. The control group were saline-treated saline-treated pigs (P < 0.0001) in both DRAXXIN-treated calves compared to saline-treated calves (24% vs. 63% and 83% vs. 3.5% respectively).

**Swine**

**Time to improvement**

The treatment success rate was significantly greater (P < 0.05) in both studies for DRAXXIN-treated calves compared to saline-treated calves. Additionally, time to improvement was significantly less (P < 0.001) in both studies for DRAXXIN-treated calves compared to saline-treated calves.
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